Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,456	SAITO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John E. Gunther</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 September 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4-5, 10, 16 and 17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jean B Corrielus/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The above claims where discussed and applicant agrees to amend the claims as indicated in the attached proposed claims amendment by way of examiner's amendment. The changes are necessary in order to correct for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance. In addition, Applicant's rep. agrees to file a Terminal disclaimer in order to avoid an obviousness type double Patenting rejection over US Patent No. 7,171,180 in view of and US patent No. 5,369,470 (teaching a filter unit 6, an attenuation stage 7 and a control unit 28).

.